CLASSIFIED MESSAGE Approved For Release 200 <del>3/02/27 CIA-RDP80801676</del> R0	0013 <u>00</u> 08 <del>0</del> 059-8
43 FFR 63 X SECRET	10 130000000000000000000000000000000000
DATE . 13 FEB 03 . ON!	2 5
TO : DIRECTOR	3 6
FROM: ACTION: D/DCI)	FEB 13 1453Z 63
INFO : DC1, DDP, ADDP, WE 4, 5, DD/1, AD/C' 2, AD/NE, s/c 2	FOUTINE .
	in 67048
THAT SILOS UNDER CONSTRUCTION OF SATISFACTORILY ANSWERED BY NEGATIVE SURFACE ABSENCE OF SPOILS AS QUANTITIES OF EARTH INVOLVENCE.	UCTION IS NOT REPEAT INDICATIONS OR THE ED COULD BE TRUCKED
AWAY AND HIDDEN FROM VIEW AND OTHER SIGNS OF WO EASILY CAMOUFLAGED. THEREFORE FEEL WE CANNOT R DISMISS THIS POSSIBILITY ON BASIS OF PHOTO INTE  2. SEVERAL RECENT EVENTS CONTRIBUTE TO MY	REPEAT CANNOT ERPRETATION AND ANALYSIS. EROWING CONCERN OVER
THE POSSIBILITY OF REINTRODUCTION OF AN OFFENS	IVE WEAPON CAPABILITY
IN CUBA AND I WISH THE ENTIRE INTELLIGENCE COMMERTED TO SATISFACTORILY ANSWER THIS QUESTION THE RECEIPT OF TWO SHIPLOADS	TN ADDITION TO
CARGO AND THE PRECIPITOUS DISCHARGING OF THE C	
PERSONNEL, TOGETHER WITH NEWS OF A POSSIBLE TH	IRD CARGO, IS TO ME
AN OMINOUS SIGN. IN ADDITION KHRUSHCHEV'S FAL	SE AND ABSOLUTELY
MISLEADING STATEMENT THAT HIS "TECHNICIANS WER	E IN CUBA TO TRAIN
CUBANS" IS INCONSISTENT WITH FACTS, AS WERE HI	IS AND DOBRYNIN'S
STATEMENTS PRIOR TO OCTOBER CRISIS.	

GROUP 1

Approved For Release 2003/02/27: CIA-RDP80B01676R0013000000059-8 DECLASSIFICATION REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED. Copy No.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	CLASSIFIED MESSAC				
* <b>4</b>	Approved For Release 2003/02/27 : CIA-RDP80B S E C R E T	801676R <del>00</del> 1.	<del>(001300080059-8<sub>ROUTING</sub></del>		
DATE :		1		4	
*		2		5	
TO :	·	3		6	
FROM :					
INFO :	PAGE 2	-	IN 67048		

- 3. FURTHERMORE, DISARMAMENT PROPOSALS OF YESTERDAY AT GENEVA WERE SO OBVIOUSLY UNACCEPTABLE TO THE WEST AS THEY HAVE BEEN ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS DURING THE PAST TEN YEARS THAT I BELIEVE THEY WERE STATED AS A JUSTIFICATION FOR A SOVIET OFFENSIVE WEAPONS BASE IN CUBA THAN FOR ANY OTHER PURPOSE. CERTAINLY THEY WERE NOT ADVANCED FOR THE PURPOSE OF SERIOUS NEGOTIATION.
- 4. IN VIEW OF ALL OF THE ABOVE, I AM INCLINED TO THE VIEW THAT
  IT IS HIGHLY DANGEROUS FOR THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY OR SPOKESMEN
  FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO TAKE A CATEGORIC POSITION CONCERNING THE POSSIBLE
  EXISTENCE OR FUTURE CLANDESTINE DEVELOPMENT OF AN OFFENSIVE THREAT
  AND AM INCLINED TO PLACE GREATER EMPHASIS ON MY STATEMENT THAT THIS
  CAN ONLY BE PROVEN BY PENETRATING AND CONTINUING ON SITE INSPECTION.
- 5. I WOULD APPRECIATE YOUR DISCUSSING THIS SUBJECT IN EXECUTIVE SESSION WITH MEMBERS OF USIB TODAY, DISTRIBUTING COPY OF THIS COMMUNICATION TO EACH MEMBER, TO SEC DEF, SEC STATE, AND BUNDY, AND INSTRUCTING DD/I AND ONE TO EVALUATE THESE MATTERS CAREFULLY PRIOR TO MY RETURN.

END OF MESSAGE